

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| 09/773,856 | 02/01/2001 | Hans Heyde | 011881-1890 | 3580 |
| THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, L.L.P. | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | LEO, LEONARD R | |
| Atlanta, GA 3 | rkway, Suite 1750 10339 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| ŕ | | • | 3753 | |

DATE MAILED: 11/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | ΛΛΛ | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | 7001 | | | |
| Advisory Action | 09/773,856 | HEYDE, HANS | , , | | | |
| navicery rieden | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Leonard R. Leo | 3753 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 25 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appelexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment whi | cation. A proper report can place the application of the capplication of the capplicat | oly to a cation in | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RI | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of | • | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data | han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S | See MPEP | | | |
| nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | nsion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in | fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or | ension fee under (2) as set forth in | | | |
| A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | | | | | | |
| 2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | pecause: | | | | | |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require furth | ner consideration and/or search (| (see NOTE below); | | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by mat | erially reducing or s | simplifying the | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cance | eling a corresponding number of | finally rejected clair | ns. | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje | ction(s): | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | d be allowable if submitted in a s | eparate, timely filed | d amendment | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: | | sidered but does NC | OT place the | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ecause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which we | re newly | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims were appeared to the proposed amendment of the prop | | | and an | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| 8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ ap | proved or b) disapproved by | the Examiner. | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | C. | Leonal of | h | | | |
| | | Leonard R. Leo Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3753 | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Continuation of 2. NOTE:

The recitation of "distance elements being arranged to be distributed over the width of the free space" requires further consideration and search.